## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSEPH A. PECORILLA,

Appellant,

vs.

DIANA E. PECORILLA,

Respondent.

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from the parties' divorce decree. Having reviewed the record on appeal, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

J. Л.

No. 36761

FILED

APR 12 2001

J. Becker

cc: Hon. William A. Maddox, District Judge Peter B. Jaquette Joseph A. Pecorilla Carson City Clerk

<sup>1</sup><u>See</u> Sims v. Sims, 109 Nev. 1146, 1148, 865 P.2d 328, 330 (1993) (stating that in determining the custody of minor children, the sole consideration of the court is the best interest of the children); NRS 125B.070(1)(b) (setting child support for children at a statutory percentage of an obligor's gross monthly income subject to a cap of \$500.00 per month per child); Wallace v. Wallace, 112 Nev. 1015, 922 P.2d 541 (1996) (noting that matters of child support are within the discretion of the district court); NRS 125B.140 (providing that a payment for child support becomes a judgment as a matter of law on the date it is due); Wolff v. Wolff, 112 Nev. 1355, 929 P.2d 916 (1996) (holding that an award of spousal support will not be disturbed on appeal unless it appears from the record that the district court abused its discretion); Sprenger v. Sprenger, 110 Nev. 855, 878 P.2d 284 (1994) (concluding that an award of attorney fees in divorce proceedings lies within the sound discretion of the trial judge).