

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LARRY EDWARD ADAMS,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
KERRY LOUISE EARLEY, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
TIMOTHY FILSON, WARDEN; AND  
ADAM P. LAXALT, ATTORNEY  
GENERAL OF NEVADA,  
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 76239

**FILED**

SEP 14 2018


ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK


*ORDER DENYING PETITION*


This petition for a writ of mandamus and or prohibition challenges the district court's resolution of discovery motions filed in a postconviction proceeding. Because petitioner can challenge the district court's decisions on appeal if the petition is denied, NRS 34.575(1); NRS 177.045, petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law and, therefore, this court's intervention by way of an extraordinary writ is not warranted, NRS 34.170. Petitioner has not demonstrated any circumstances that reveal urgency or strong necessity for this court to intervene even though there is an alternative remedy available. *Cf.*

*Salaiscooper v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 117 Nev. 892, 901-02, 34 P.3d 509, 515-16 (2001). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

 \_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Cherry

 \_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Parraguirre

 \_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Stiglich

cc: Hon. Kerry Louise Earley, District Judge  
Federal Public Defender/Las Vegas  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk