IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FRANK MILFORD PECK,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
Respondent.

No. 75141

FILED

AUG 02 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY S. YOURS

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

This is an original petition for a writ of prohibition seeking to compel the district court to order the completion of service of process on a party to the underlying case.

A writ of prohibition may be warranted when a district court acts without or in excess of its jurisdiction. NRS 34.320; Club Vista Fin. Servs., LLC v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 128 Nev. 224, 228, 276 P.3d 246, 249 (2012). This court has discretion as to whether to entertain a petition for extraordinary relief and will not do so when the petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. NRS 34.330; D.R. Horton, Inc. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 123 Nev. 468, 474-75, 168 P.3d 731, 736-37 (2007). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Having considered the petition and appendix filed in this matter, we are not persuaded that this court's intervention by way of

COURT OF APPEALS
OF
NEVADA

(O) 1947B 🐠

extraordinary relief is warranted. *Id.* Accordingly, we deny the petition. See NRAP 21(b)(1); *D.R. Horton*, 123 Nev. at 475, 168 P.3d at 737.

It is so ORDERED.

<u>Silver</u>, C.J.

______, J.

Gibbons J.

cc: Frank Milford Peck Attorney General/Carson City Carson City Clerk