IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHARLES CLINTON NEWTON, JR., Petitioner, vs. ANDREW WINTERSTEEN, Respondent. No. 75521

AUG 0 2 2018

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition seeks to compel the United States District Court for the District of Nevada to grant petitioner summary judgment on his underlying civil rights complaint.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). We may issue a writ of prohibition to arrest the proceedings of a district court exercising its judicial functions when such proceedings are in excess of the district court's jurisdiction. See NRS 34.320. Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEVADA

Having considered the documents before us in this matter, we conclude that our extraordinary intervention is not warranted. See id. Accordingly, we deny the petition. NRAP 21(b)(1).

It is so ORDERED.

Silver C.J. Silver

J.

Tao

J. Gibbons

Charles Clinton Newton, Jr. cc: Attorney General/Las Vegas