

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SGRO & ROGER,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE

MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT

JUDGE,

Respondents,

and


GREGORY BRENT DENNIS,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 76418

FILED

JUL 20 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY: 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS


This emergency petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying motions to quash a subpoena and for reconsideration.

Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted at this time. NRS 34.320; *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004); *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). In particular, petitioner has not demonstrated that the district court manifestly abused its discretion. *Cotter v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 134 Nev., Adv. Op. 32, 416 P.3d 228, 231–32 (2018); *In re Grand Jury (Impounded)*, 138 F.3d 978, 981 (3d Cir. 1998); *In re Sealed Case*, 676 F.2d 793, 817–18 (D.C. Cir. 1982).

Accordingly, we decline to exercise our discretion to intervene at this time,¹
and we

ORDER the petition DENIED.²


_____, C.J.
Silver


_____, J.
Tao


_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Michelle Leavitt, District Judge
Sgro & Roger
Chesnoff & Schonfeld
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Petitioner's failure to provide an affidavit of the party beneficially interested, NRS 34.170; NRAP 21(a)(5), and an NRAP 27(e) certification constitutes alternative bases on which to deny this writ petition.

²In light of this order, petitioner's motion for stay is denied as moot.