IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN RE THE MATTER OF: THE EUGENE B. SCHOOLER AND ROWENA SCHOOLER TRUST, DATED DECEMBER 6, 1989.

R. KATHERINE SCHOOLER KERNS, Appellant, vs. GLORIA TRUMBLE,

Respondent.

No. 71559



MAY 2 5 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

R. Katherine Schooler Kerns appeals from a district court order domesticating a foreign judgment. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Scott N. Freeman, Judge.

Respondent Gloria Trumble, trustee of the Eugene B. Schooler and Rowena Schooler Trust, dated December 6, 1989, obtained an order from the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, North County—Probate Division, finding that the trust and/or Tierra Del Mar Corporation ("TDM"), a corporation wholly owned by the trust, were the true owners of various Nevada real properties located in Clark and Washoe counties, that Kerns had wrongfully taken these properties by fraudulently representing herself as trustee of the trust and as secretary of TDM, and that Kerns was liable for \$1,106,666.00 in damages. Trumble then filed the judgment in the Second Judicial District Court as a foreign judgment pursuant to NRS 17.350. The district court entered an order adopting the California Superior Court's order in its entirety and, based upon the California Superior Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, found that any deed executed by

Kerns in her purported capacity as trustee of the trust or secretary of TDM was of no legal force or effect. Kerns appeals that decision.

"The full faith and credit clause of the United States Constitution requires that a final judgment entered in a sister state must be respected by the courts of this state absent a showing of fraud, lack of due process or lack of jurisdiction in the rendering state." Rosenstein v. Steele, 103 Nev. 571, 573, 747 P.2d 230, 231 (1987). We have reviewed the parties' briefs and the record on appeal and conclude that Kerns has failed to show fraud, lack of due process, or a lack of jurisdiction in the California Superior Court. We therefore

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.2

Gilner C.J.

Silver

_____, J.

Tao

Gibbons J.

¹To the extent Kerns raises arguments that go beyond whether the California judgment is entitled to full faith and credit, we have reviewed those arguments and conclude they do not provide a basis for relief.

²In light of this court's final disposition of this matter, the Nevada Supreme Court's February 1, 2017, stay of the challenged district court order necessarily no longer remains in effect.

cc: Hon. Scott N. Freeman, District Judge R. Katherine Schooler Kerns Incline Law Group Washoe District Court Clerk