## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ELLIOTT DEW, SR., Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 72517

FILED FEB 13 2018 ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT PUTYCLERK

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Elliott Dew, Sr., appeals from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, for second-degree arson. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Kenneth C. Cory, Judge.

Dew first contends the district court erred when it sentenced him to prison without first allowing his social worker to speak and before his application to Veteran's Court was decided. We review a district court's sentencing decision for abuse of discretion. See Chavez v. State, 125 Nev. 328, 348, 213 P.3d 476, 490 (2009). We will refrain from interfering with the sentence imposed by the district court "[s]o long as the record does not demonstrate prejudice resulting from consideration of information or accusations founded on facts supported only by impalpable or highly suspect evidence." Silks v. State, 92 Nev. 91, 94, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 (1976). Dew does not claim the district court relied on information supported only by impalpable or highly suspect evidence. We therefore conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion in sentencing Dew to prison.<sup>1</sup>

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEVADA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To the extent Dew asserts the district court abused its discretion by not sua sponte continuing the sentencing hearing, we conclude he has failed

Dew also contends his sentence constitutes cruel and unusual punishment because a sentence of three to ten years shocks the conscience where the offender is a military veteran suffering from mental illness. Regardless of its severity, a sentence that is "within the statutory limits is not 'cruel and unusual punishment unless the statute fixing punishment is unconstitutional or the sentence is so unreasonably disproportionate to the offense as to shock the conscience." *Blume v. State*, 112 Nev. 472, 475, 915 P.2d 282, 284 (1996) (quoting *Culverson v. State*, 95 Nev. 433, 435, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979)). Here, the sentence imposed is within the parameters provided by the relevant statute. *See* NRS 205.015. Dew does not allege that statute is unconstitutional. We conclude the sentence imposed is not grossly disproportionate to the crime and does not constitute cruel and unusual punishment. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.<sup>2</sup>

J.

Tao

J.

to demonstrate plain error affecting his substantial rights. See Valdez v. State, 124 Nev. 1172, 1190, 196 P.3d 465, 477 (2008).

<sup>2</sup>The Honorable Abbi Silver did not participate in the decision in this matter.

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEVADA cc: Hon. Kenneth C. Cory, District Judge Wright Stanish & Winckler Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEVADA Υ.