

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

AARON OMAR ROMERO-LUQUIN,
Appellant,
vs.
BRIAN WILLIAMS, WARDEN,
Respondent.

No. 72384

FILED

DEC 14 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Aaron Omar Romero-Luquin appeals from an order of the district court denying his July 7, 2016, postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus challenging the computation of time served.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Linda Marie Bell, Judge.

Romero-Luquin claimed he was entitled to the application of statutory credits to his minimum sentence pursuant to NRS 209.4465(7)(b). The district court found Romero-Luquin committed a category B felony in 2012 and was thus not entitled to the application of credits to his minimum sentence. *See* NRS 209.4465(8)(d). The district court's finding was not supported by any evidence in the record. We nevertheless conclude the district court reached the correct result in denying Romero-Luquin's petition.

Whether Romero-Luquin is entitled to the application of credits to his minimum sentence depends on when he committed his crime or crimes. *See generally Williams v. State*, 133 Nev. ___, 402 P.3d 1260 (2017)


¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument and we conclude the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. NRAP 34(f)(3), (g).


17-902638

(holding credits should be applied to certain minimum sentences for crimes committed between the 1997 enactment and 2007 amendment of NRS 209.4465). Romero-Luquin did not state when he committed them. He thus failed to support his claim with necessary specific factual allegations. *Cf. Hargrove v. State*, 100 Nev. 498, 502-03, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984) (holding a petitioner is not entitled to an evidentiary hearing where his claims are unsupported by specific factual allegations that, if true, would have entitled him to relief). We therefore conclude the district court did not err in denying Romero-Luquin's petition, *see Wyatt v. State*, 86 Nev. 294, 298, 468 P.2d 338, 341 (1970) (holding a correct result will not be reversed simply because it is based on the wrong reason), and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.


_____, C.J.
Silver


_____, J.
Tao


_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Linda Marie Bell, District Judge
Aaron Omar Romero-Luquin
Attorney General/Carson City
Attorney General/Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk