IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PENISIMANI ULUI TAUNAHOLO, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 72349

FILED

DEC 1 4 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY S. YOULVAN DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

Penisimani Ului Taunaholo appeals from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of one count each of grand larceny and ex-felon in possession of a firearm. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Elliott A. Sattler, Judge.

Taunaholo contends the district court abused its discretion when it sentenced him to identical, consecutive terms of 19 to 48 months. It is within the district court's discretion to impose identical, consecutive sentences. See NRS 176.035(1); Pitmon v. State, 131 Nev. ____, ___, 352 P.3d 655, 659 (Ct. App. 2015); Warden v. Peters, 83 Nev. 298, 303, 429 P.2d 549, 552 (1967). See generally Houk v. State, 103 Nev. 659, 664, 747 P.2d 1376, 1379 (1987) ("The sentencing judge has wide discretion in imposing a sentence."). This court will refrain from interfering with the sentence imposed "[s]o long as the record does not demonstrate prejudice resulting from consideration of information or accusations founded on facts supported only by impalpable or highly suspect evidence." Silks v. State, 92 Nev. 91, 94, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 (1976).

Taunaholo does not claim the district court relied on information supported only by impalpable or highly suspect evidence.

COURT OF APPEALS
OF
NEVADA

17-902597

Further, the sentences imposed in this case are within the parameters provided by the relevant statutes. See NRS 193.130(2)(c); NRS 202.360(1); NRS 205.222(2). We therefore conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion in imposing identical consecutive sentences, and we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

<u>Silver</u>, C.J.

Tao J.

Gibbons J.

cc: Hon. Elliott A. Sattler, District Judge Washoe County Public Defender Attorney General/Carson City Washoe County District Attorney Washoe District Court Clerk

