IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

No. 36574

FILED

SEP 13 2000 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME CON

BY CHEF DEPUTY CLERK

ROBERT J. CLARKE,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE MARK W. GIBBONS, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Real Party in Interest.

ORDER DENYING PETITION

FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION

This is a proper person petition for a writ of prohibition challenging an order of the district court granting motions by the State and Lake's Crossing Center for the Mentally Disordered Offender for orders requiring the involuntary medication of petitioner.

We have reviewed the documents on file with this court, and we decline to intervene. Although petitioner's proper person petition may raise important constitutional issues of first impression relating to due process requirements for forced administration of medication to render a pretrial detainee competent, we conclude that our intervention by extraordinary writ is not warranted at this time. NRS 34.330; see also 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Specifically, we note that petitioner is represented by counsel in the proceedings below, and we trust counsel will evaluate petitioner's situation and take appropriate action on his behalf. Further, the petition raises factual issues which should be presented first in the district court. See Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981) (when disputed factual issues are critical to the proper resolution of a request for extraordinary relief, the writ should be sought in the first instance in the district court,

00 16094

-~•	
wi	ith appeal from an adverse judgment to this court). ¹
Ac	ccordingly, we deny this petition.
	It is so ORDERED. ²
	Shearing J.
	Agosto J.
	Leavitt J.
C	c: Hon. Mark W. Gibbons, District Judge Attorney General Clark County District Attorney Clark County Public Defender Violet Radosta, Deputy Public Defender Robert J. Clarke Clark County Clerk

¹We also note that petitioner's challenge to forced medication may be raised on direct appeal from a judgment of conviction. NRAP 3A.

²We have considered all proper person documents filed or received in this matter, and we conclude that the relief requested is not warranted.