

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RYAN ULYSSES GOUDIE,
Appellant,
vs.
JENNIFER MARGARET PACKARD-
KEANE,
Respondent.

No. 73962

FILED

NOV 30 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a pro se appeal from several district court orders. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Lisa M. Brown, Judge.

Our review of the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) and the record on appeal reveals jurisdictional defects. Specifically, it appears that none of the orders designated in the notice of appeal is substantively appealable. See NRAP 3A(b).


This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels*, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). The January 12, 2017, order denying the motion to modify the child's therapy schedule is not an appealable order.


The January 12, 2017, order denying appellant's motion to change custody would be appealable, but the notice of appeal was not filed until September 5, 2017, more than thirty days after service of written notice of entry, and it is therefore untimely. See NRAP 4(a)(1); NRAP 26(c).


The July 18, 2017, findings of fact and conclusions of law holding appellant in contempt for violating the mutual behavior order and

the August 9, 2017, order awarding attorney fees as a sanction, are not appealable. No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order that solely concerns contempt, and attorney fees and costs imposed as a sanction for contempt are not independently appealable. See *Pengilly v. Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners Ass'n*, 116 Nev. 646, 649, 5 P.3d 569, 671 (2000) (recognizing that a contempt order entered in an ancillary proceeding is not appealable); compare *Vaile v. Vaile*, 133 Nev., Adv. Op. 30, 396 P.3d 791, 794 (2017); and *Lewis v. Lewis*, 132 Nev., Adv. Op. 46, 373 P.3d 878, 881 (2016) (considering challenges to contempt findings and sanctions in an order that modified child custody).

We lack jurisdiction, and we therefore
ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.¹


_____, J.
Hardesty


_____, J.
Parraguirre


_____, J.
Stiglich

cc: Hon. Lisa M. Brown, District Judge, Family Court Division
Ryan Ulysses Goudie
Walsh & Friedman, Ltd.
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹We deny as moot appellant's motion for an extension of time to file the fast track statement.