## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LORNE DOUGLAS RICHARDSON, A/K/A JEFFREY JAMES BRAWLEY, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 72135

FILED

NOV 03 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY S. YOULD DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER VACATING AND REMANDING

This is a pro se appeal from a district court order denying a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Richard Scotti, Judge.

Appellant Lorne Douglas Richardson argues that the credits he has earned pursuant to NRS 209.4465 must be applied to his parole eligibility as provided in NRS 209.4465(7)(b) (1997). In denying Richardson's petition, the district court concluded that his claim was not cognizable in a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. This court recently held to the contrary in Williams v. State, 133 Nev., Adv. Op. 75, \_\_\_ P.3d \_\_\_ (2017).¹ The district court therefore must consider the

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🐠

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Having considered Richardson's pro se brief and given our decision in *Williams*, we conclude that a response is not necessary. NRAP 46A(c). This appeal therefore has been submitted for decision based on the pro se brief and the record. See NRAP 34(f)(3).

petition on the merits, consistent with our decision in Williams.<sup>2</sup> Because the district court did not have the benefit of our decision in Williams, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court VACATED AND REMAND this matter for the district court to reconsider its decision in light of *Williams*.

Hardesty, J.

Duag, J.

Parraguirre

Stiglich,

cc: Hon. Richard Scotti, District Judge Lorne Douglas Richardson Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The court cannot grant Richardson relief on any sentences that he has already discharged or appeared before the parole board. *Williams*, 133 Nev., Adv. Op. 75 at 10 n.7.