## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PHILLIP HARMON ROBBINS,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

PHILLIP HARMON ROBBINS,

Appellant,

vs.


THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 36535

FILED

OCT 02 2000



No. 36536

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS

These are proper person appeals from orders of the district court denying appellant's motions for reconsideration of sentence. Our review of these appeals reveals two jurisdictional defects. First, the right to appeal is statutory; where no statute or court rule provides for an appeal, no right to appeal exists. See Castillo v. State, 106 Nev. 349, 792 P.2d 1133 (1990). No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion for reconsideration. See Phelps v. State, 111 Nev. 1021, 900 P.2d 344 (1995). Second, appellant's notices of appeal were untimely filed. See NRAP 4(b). An untimely notice of appeal fails to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In his notices of appeal, appellant stated that he was appealing from "sentencing order[s]" entered in June of 2000. Our review of the documents before this court reveals that the only orders entered by the district court in these matters in June of 2000 were orders denying appellant's motion for reconsideration in district court case nos. CR99-2137 and CR99-1017.

vest jurisdiction in this court. See Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 871 P.2d 944 (1994). Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction to consider these appeals, and we ORDER these appeals dismissed.

Young

Young

Maupin

Becker

J.

cc: Hon. Connie J. Steinheimer, District Judge Attorney General Washoe County District Attorney Phillip Harmon Robbins Washoe County Clerk