

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PHILLIP HARMON ROBBINS,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 36535

FILED

OCT 02 2000

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Bloom*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

PHILLIP HARMON ROBBINS,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 36536

ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS

These are proper person appeals from orders of the district court denying appellant's motions for reconsideration of sentence.¹ Our review of these appeals reveals two jurisdictional defects. First, the right to appeal is statutory; where no statute or court rule provides for an appeal, no right to appeal exists. See *Castillo v. State*, 106 Nev. 349, 792 P.2d 1133 (1990). No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion for reconsideration. See *Phelps v. State*, 111 Nev. 1021, 900 P.2d 344 (1995). Second, appellant's notices of appeal were untimely filed. See *NRAP 4(b)*. An untimely notice of appeal fails to

¹In his notices of appeal, appellant stated that he was appealing from "sentencing order[s]" entered in June of 2000. Our review of the documents before this court reveals that the only orders entered by the district court in these matters in June of 2000 were orders denying appellant's motion for reconsideration in district court case nos. CR99-2137 and CR99-1017.

00-17322
00-17323

vest jurisdiction in this court. See Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 871 P.2d 944 (1994). Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction to consider these appeals, and we

ORDER these appeals dismissed.

Young J.

Maupin J.

Becker J.

cc: Hon. Connie J. Steinheimer, District Judge
Attorney General
Washoe County District Attorney
Phillip Harmon Robbins
Washoe County Clerk