IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE JAMES CROCKETT, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and JE SEON OH,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 73130



SEP 07 2017

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY 5. DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order directing the return of property seized in a civil forfeiture action. Having considered all documents on file herein, we conclude that the issues raised in the petition—namely, whether the district court properly ordered the return of the seized property when the criminal charges against real party in interest had been dismissed—have been rendered moot by the intervening reindictment of real party in interest.\(^1\) Accordingly, we decline to intervene in this matter and therefore deny the petition, without prejudice to petitioner's ability to properly challenge any

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

¹While petitioner informs this court of the reindictment in its reply brief and attempts to raise additional issues related to it, we decline to consider these newly raised arguments in the context of this proceeding. See Edelstein v. Bank of N.Y. Mellon, 128 Nev. 505, 523 n.13, 286 P.3d 249, 261 n.13 (2012).

ripe issue in an appropriate manner. See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (stating that a petition for extraordinary writ relief is purely discretionary with this court).

It is so ORDERED.²

Cherry
Cherry
Cherry
Cherry
Cherry
Cherry
Cherry
CLJ
Cherry
J.
Douglas
J.
Gibbons
J.
Pickering
J.
Hardesty
J.
Stiglich

cc: The Honorable Jim Crockett, District Judge Liesl K. Freedman Matthew J. Christian Law Office of Andrew M. Leavitt, Esq. Eighth District Court Clerk

²Petitioner's motion for stay is denied as moot.