IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

K-KEL, INC.,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
DAVID M. JONES, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
RONIQUE SMITH; KRYSTAL
CAMPBELL; JACQUELINE
FRANKLIN; AND JANE DOE
DANCERS I-XII,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 72896



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or, alternatively, prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion for limited discovery and a trial on arbitrability in a labor law matter.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). This court may issue a writ of prohibition to arrest the proceedings of a district court exercising its judicial functions when such proceedings are in excess of the district court's jurisdiction. See NRS 34.320; Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). Petitioner bears the burden

COURT OF APPEALS
OF
NEVADA

(O) 1947B

17-901277

of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we conclude that petitioner has failed to meet its burden of demonstrating that extraordinary writ relief is warranted. See id. Accordingly, we deny the petition. See NRAP 21(b)(1); Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851.

It is so ORDERED.¹

Silver, C.J.

Tao, J.

Gibbons, J.

cc: Hon. David M. Jones, District Judge Lambrose Brown Morris Anderson Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of our denial of this petition, we deny as moot petitioner's May 2, 2017, motion for a stay of the underlying proceedings.