IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DANNY TAYLOR, Petitioner,

VS.

THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PERSHING; AND THE HONORABLE JIM C. SHIRLEY, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents. No. 72733

FILED

MAY 3 1 2017



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus challenging the district court's alleged refusal to take action on motions filed in the underlying case or otherwise move the matter forward.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to control a manifest abuse of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Round Hill Gen. Improvement Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 603-04, 637 P.2d 534, 536 (1981). A petition for mandamus relief constitutes an extraordinary remedy, and whether such a petition will be considered is solely within our discretion. See Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). Moreover, petitioner has the burden of demonstrating that our extraordinary intervention is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004).

COURT OF APPEALS

OF

Nevada

17-901128

(O) 1947B

Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we conclude petitioner has not demonstrated that our intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. See id. Accordingly, we deny the petition. NRAP 21(b)(1); Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851.

It is so ORDERED.

Silver

Two

Tao

Gibbons

C.J.

Gibbons

cc: Hon. Jim C. Shirley, District Judge Danny Taylor Attorney General/Carson City Pershing County Clerk