IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MESQUITE VISTAS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE KATHLEEN E. DELANEY, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents

Respondents,

and

HOMEOWNER BENEFITS LLC, ON BEHALF OF ITSELF AND AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CLASS

HEREIN DEFINED,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 72674



MAY 0 9 2017



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion for summary judgment in a class action seeking refunds from a homeowners' association.

Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we are not persuaded that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted at this time. Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004); Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 679, 818 P.2d 849, 851, 853 (1991). Based on the existing record, even if we were to agree with the arguments presented in the petition, it does not appear that all of the claims asserted below would necessarily be resolved. See Moore v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 96 Nev. 415, 416-17, 610 P.2d 188, 189 (1980) (determining that writ relief is not

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🐗

17-15429

an appropriate remedy when resolution of the writ petition would not dispose of the entire controversy). This order should not be construed as precluding petitioner from making the same arguments in any future writ petition or appeal. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Douglas

Gibbons

Pickering , J

cc: Hon. Kathleen E. Delaney, District Judge Pengilly Law Firm Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas Floyd A. Hale Adams Law Group Brown Brown & Premsrirut Eighth District Court Clerk