## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GOVINDA LUITEL.

No. 72815

Appellant,

VS.

LILA PARAJULI-LUITEL,

Respondent.

GOVINDA LUITEL.

Appellant,

VS.

LILA PARAJULI-LUITEL,

Respondent.

No. 72816

FILED

MAY 0 1 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS

These are pro se appeals from a divorce decree and an order extending a temporary protective order. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Denise L. Gentile, Judge.

Our review of the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(g) reveals jurisdictional defects. Specifically, it appears that the notice of appeal was prematurely filed, before entry of a final written divorce decree, and is therefore of no effect. See NRAP 4(a)(1). A district court's oral pronouncement from the bench, a minute order, and even an unfiled written order do not definitively resolve a disputed matter and cannot be appealed. See Rust v. Clark Cty. School District, 103 Nev. 686, 747 P.2d 1380 (1987).

In addition, the order extending a temporary protective order designated in the notice of appeal is not substantively appealable. See NRAP 3A(b). This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). Because no statute or

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

17-14257

court rule provides for an appeal from an order extending a temporary protective order, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction and we

ORDER these appeals DISMISSED.

rales

J.

Douglas

311

J.

Pickering

J.

cc: Hon. Denise L. Gentile, District Judge, Family Court Division

Govinda Luitel

Law Offices of Robert L. Hempen II

Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

