

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JUANITA WALTER,
Appellant,
vs.
DOMINO'S PIZZA LLC,
Respondent.

No. 71543

FILED

FEB 27 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY: *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a district court order dismissing a petition for judicial review in a workers' compensation matter. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas Smith, Judge.


Appellant sought judicial review of an appeals officer's decision affirming closure of her claim without a permanent partial disability evaluation. The district court dismissed the petition under NRS 233B.130(2)(a), which requires the petition to name the agency and all parties of record to the administrative proceeding. *See Washoe Cty. v. Otto*, 128 Nev. 424, 432-33, 282 P.3d 719, 725 (2012) (providing that a party seeking judicial review of an administrative agency's decision must strictly comply with the Administrative Procedure Act's jurisdictional procedural requirements, including those set forth in NRS 233B.130(2), to invoke the district court's jurisdiction). This appeal followed.


On appeal, appellant provides only a summary list of purported district court errors without developing any arguments or providing any explanation as to these alleged errors. With regard to the district court's determination that appellant failed to comply with NRS 233B.130(2)(a), appellant's statement of district court error simply provides "[p]roper [n]ames were not listed." But appellant does not assert


17-900379

that she somehow satisfied NRS 233B.130(2)(a)'s requirements by merely naming her employer in the petition or otherwise explain why she believes the dismissal of her petition on this basis was improper. Consequently, we decline to consider this argument. See *Edwards v. Emperor's Garden Rest.*, 122 Nev. 317, 330 n.38, 130 P.3d 1280, 1288 n.38 (2006) (refusing to consider appellate challenges not supported by cogent argument). And because appellant failed to demonstrate that the district court incorrectly found that she failed to name all parties of record in the petition, we necessarily affirm the court's determination that this failure deprived the court of jurisdiction over the petition such that dismissal was required. See NRS 233B.130(2)(a); *Otto*, 128 Nev. at 432-33, 282 P.3d at 725.

It is so ORDERED.¹


_____, C.J.
Silver


_____, J.
Tao


_____, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Douglas Smith, District Judge
Juanita Walter
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP/Las Vegas
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹In light of our resolution of this matter, we need not reach appellant's remaining appellate arguments.