

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RENE NOVA-OCAMPO,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 36401

FILED

OCT 02 2000

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY: *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction pursuant to a jury verdict of robbery of a person over 65 years of age, a felony and a violation of NRS 200.380 and NRS 193.167. The district court sentenced appellant to serve 24 to 120 months in the Nevada State Prison.

Appellant contends that the evidence presented at trial was insufficient to support the jury's finding of guilt. Our review of the record on appeal, however, reveals sufficient evidence to establish guilt beyond a reasonable doubt as determined by a rational trier of fact. See Wilkins v. State, 96 Nev. 367, 609 P.2d 309 (1980).

In particular, we note appellant's admission in open court that he "snatched" the victim's watch from the victim's wrist with enough force to break it. Appellant's implied assertion that he lacked the specific intent to rob the victim because he was only retaliating for a racial slur is without merit. Robbery is a general intent crime; specific intent is not an element of the offense. See NRS 200.380.

The jury could reasonably infer from the evidence presented that appellant robbed the victim, who is over the age of 65. It is for the jury to determine the weight and credibility to give conflicting testimony, and the jury's

verdict will not be disturbed on appeal where, as here, substantial evidence supports the verdict. See Bolden v. State, 97 Nev. 71, 624 P.2d 20 (1981).

Having concluded that appellant's contention lacks merit, we affirm the judgment of conviction.

It is so ORDERED.

Young J.
Young
Maupin J.
Maupin
Becker J.
Becker

cc: Hon. Steven R. Kosach, District Judge
Attorney General
Washoe County District Attorney
Mary Kandaras Petty
Washoe County Clerk