IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ARTHUR DANIEL MAYO, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 69757

FILED

SEP 2 1 2016

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a district court order denying a petition for extraordinary relief.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valerie Adair, Judge.

On December 22, 2015, appellant Arthur Daniel Mayo filed a "Petition/Writ of Extraordinary Relief in Support of Petitioner's Filed Attached 'Memorandum' 'The Domino Effect' 'Comparative Analysis'" in which Mayo alleged he is being held illegally, the district court lacked jurisdiction to convict him, and the laws in Nevada are void because the Nevada Revised Statutes do not contain enacting clauses as required. Mayo's claim lacked merit because the Statutes of Nevada contain the laws with the enacting clauses required by the Nevada Constitution, see Nev. Const. art. 4, § 23, and the Nevada Revised Statutes merely reproduce those laws as classified, codified, and annotated by the Legislative Counsel, see NRS 220.110; NRS 220.120. Because Mayo failed

(O) 1947B

16-901127

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument. NRAP 34(f)(3).

to demonstrate that he is being unlawfully committed, detained, confined, or restrained, we conclude the district court did not err by denying Mayo's petition. See NRS 34.360. We further conclude the district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Mayo's motion for an extension of time to file a reply to the State's response. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

More C.J.

Tao J.

Gilner, J.

Silver

cc: Hon. Valerie Adair, District Judge Arthur Daniel Mayo Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk