IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSEPH F. NASCIMENTO,

Petitioner,

vs.

STATE BAR OF NEVADA; THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE T. ART RITCHIE, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

(O)-4892

KATHY R. DUMMER/NASCIMENTO,

Real Party in Interest.

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This is an original proper person petition for a writ of mandamus challenging bar counsel's refusal to prosecute petitioner's alleged grievance against his former spouse's divorce counsel. Petitioner also appears to seek disqualification of the district judge currently assigned to the underlying post-decree custody motions, and reassignment to a new district judge. The petition was not accompanied by an affidavit of the party beneficially interested, in violation of NRS 34.170. Petitioner also failed to pay the filing fee required by NRS 2.250, and requests that it be waived on the grounds that it is not his job to enforce attorney discipline and prevent judicial corruption and so he should not have to pay for the petition.

SCR 104(2) provides a procedure whereby a grievance against bar counsel may be addressed. In addition, NRS 1.235 provides a procedure whereby a party may seek the disqualification of a district judge. Extraordinary relief is

FILED

JUL 25 2000 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OHIEF DEPUTY CLERK not available where there is an adequate remedy at law. See NRS 34.170. 1

We are therefore not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time. Accordingly, we deny the petition. <u>See NRAP</u> 21(b); State ex rel. Dep't Transp. v. Thompson, 99 Nev. 358, 662 P.2d 1338 (1983).

It is so ORDERED.²

J. J. J.

cc: Hon. T. Art Ritchie, District Judge, Family Court Division Rob W. Bare, Bar Counsel, State Bar of Nevada Wayne Blevins, Executive Director, State Bar of Nevada Alverson Taylor Mortensen Nelson & Sanders Joseph F. Nascimento Clark County Clerk

¹We conclude that petitioner has not demonstrated good cause to waive the filing fee. This constitutes an independent basis for denial of the petition. <u>See NRAP 21(e)</u>.

²Although petitioner was not granted leave to file papers in proper person, <u>see</u> NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from petitioner. The relief requested is denied as moot in light of this order. We direct the clerk of this court to amend the caption on this court's docket so that it is consistent with the caption on this order.

(O)-4892