


IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KAREEM A. BROCK,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 69696

**FILED**

JUL 27 2016

TRACIE A. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE*

This is an appeal from an order of the district court denying a petition for a writ of mandamus.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michael Villani, Judge.

Appellant Kareem Brock claims the district court erred by denying his petition because he was entitled to 622 days of presentence credit in this case. He seeks an amended judgment of conviction reflecting those credits.


Brock fails to demonstrate he is entitled to relief. He fails to demonstrate he does not have an adequate and speedy remedy. See NRS 34.170; *Griffin v. State*, 122 Nev. 737, 744, 137 P.3d 1165, 1169 (2006). Additionally, Brock's claim regarding his presentence credits was previously raised in a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus and was rejected by the Nevada Supreme Court. See *Brock v. State*, Docket No. 50242 (Order of Affirmance, April 9, 2008). Therefore, this claim was barred by the doctrine of the law of the case and cannot be


---


<sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument. NRAP 34(f)(3).

avoided by a more detailed and precisely focused argument. *See Hall v. State*, 91 Nev. 314, 315-16, 535 P.2d 797, 798-99 (1975). Accordingly, the district court did not err in denying the petition, and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, C.J.  
Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Tao

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.  
Silver

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge  
Kareem A. Brock  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney  
Eighth District Court Clerk