IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MACK CURTIS PAIGE, JR.,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 69258

FILED

JUN 2 2 2016

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a district court order denying a motion to modify sentence.[1] Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; William D. Kephart, Judge.

In his motion filed on October 14, 2015, appellant Mack Curtis Paige, Jr., claimed modification of his sentence was warranted because the district court relied on a presentence investigation report (PSI) that contained numerous errors and misleading statements when imposing sentence. This claim is belied by the record.

At sentencing, Paige identified all of the errors and misleading statements he believed were contained in the PSI. The district court judge acknowledged Paige's concerns, indicated he was not going to consider the portions of the PSI Paige was challenging, and noted Paige still had two prior felony convictions, including one he "walked away" on. The judge

---

[1]This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument and we conclude the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. NRAP 34(f)(3), (g).

16-900740

imposed a prison term of 2-10 years, with an equal and consecutive prison term of 2-10 years for the deadly weapon enhancement, explaining that his decision on the enhancement was based on the multiple offenses for the instant charges and Paige's criminal history.

Paige failed to demonstrate the district court judge relied on mistaken assumptions regarding his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment. *See Edwards v. State*, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

_____, C.J.
Gibbons

_____, J.
Tao

_____, J.
Silver

cc: Hon. William D. Kephart, District Judge
       Mack Curtis Paige, Jr.
       Attorney General/Carson City
       Clark County District Attorney
       Eighth District Court Clerk