

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RONALD COLLINS,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 36309

FILED

FEB 22 2001

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Bloom*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from an order of the district court granting in part and denying in part appellant's motion for return of seized property.

On March 24, 2000, the district court convicted appellant, pursuant to a guilty plea, of one count of attempted theft. The district court sentenced appellant to serve a term of one year in the Clark County Detention Center.

On May 10, 2000, appellant filed a proper person motion for return of seized property in the district court. On June 20, 2000, after conducting a hearing, the district court granted in part and denied in part appellant's motion. This appeal followed.

In his motion, appellant moved for the return of \$553 dollars, the sum of money found in appellant's wallet at the time of his arrest and seized as evidence of his crime at the What's Up Lounge. During the hearing, the State opposed the return of \$253 of the \$553 because it was the money actually taken in the crime. Appellant argued that the sum of money was never established at the preliminary hearing, and therefore the entire sum should be returned to him. At the conclusion of the hearing, the district court determined that \$300 of the \$553 should be returned to appellant and determined that \$253 of the \$553 should be returned to the What's Up Lounge. Based upon our review of the record, we conclude that the district court did not err.

Having reviewed the record on appeal, and for the reasons set forth above, we conclude that appellant is not

entitled to relief and that briefing and oral argument are unwarranted.¹ Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²

Young J.
Young
Rose J.
Rose
Becker J.
Becker

cc: Hon. Lee A. Gates, District Judge
Attorney General
Clark County District Attorney
Ronald W. Collins
Clark County Clerk

¹See Lockett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975), cert. denied, 423 U.S. 1077 (1976).

²We have considered all proper person documents filed or received in this matter, and we conclude that the relief requested is not warranted.