

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RUBEN PAUL PEREZ, A/K/A ENRIQUE
ROCHA PEREZ,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 68254

FILED

FEB 10 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE


This is a pro se appeal from a district court order denying a petition for a writ of mandamus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas W. Herndon, Judge.


In his petition, appellant requested that the district court assign a “special master” to search the court’s archives for his sentencing transcripts to determine whether his written judgment of conviction contains a clerical error. Appellant fails to demonstrate that the district court abused its discretion by denying his petition. *See Kay v. Nunez*, 122 Nev. 1100, 1105, 146 P.3d 801, 805 (2006) (“When reviewing a district court order resolving a petition for mandamus relief, this court considers whether the district court has abused its discretion.”); *see generally*

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and additional briefing is unwarranted. *See Lockett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

Bradley v. State, 109 Nev. 1090, 1095, 864 P.2d 1272, 1275 (1993)
(discussing the effect of an oral sentence pronouncement). Accordingly, we


ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²


_____, C.J.
Parraguirre


_____, J.
Douglas

CHERRY, J., concurring:

I concur.


_____, J.
Cherry

cc: Hon. Douglas W. Herndon, District Judge
Ruben Paul Perez
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have received the document appellant submitted on October 19, 2015, and conclude that no relief is warranted based upon this submission.