

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NICOLE D'ALONZO,
Petitioner,


vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
LINDA MARQUIS, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
JASON FOREST,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 69172

FILED

NOV 18 2015


TRAVIS K. UNDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION


This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court decision holding petitioner in contempt. Because “[n]o rule or statute authorizes an appeal from an order of contempt,” we have held that “contempt orders must be challenged by an original petition pursuant to NRS Chapter 34.” *Pengilly v. Rancho Santa Fe Homeowners Ass’n*, 116 Nev. 646, 649, 6 P.3d 569, 571 (2000). Nonetheless, whether to consider a petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition rests entirely within this court’s discretion. *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted and providing the information and documentation necessary to a proper evaluation of the petition. *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228-29, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004); *see also* NRAP 21(a). Here, petitioner challenges a contempt order purportedly entered on November 12, 2015, following hearings on August 18, 2015, and November 12, 2015. But petitioner has not provided this court with a written order holding her

in contempt, transcripts of the two relevant hearings, or the relevant pleadings, making it impossible for this court to evaluate the petition's merits. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


Parraguirre, J.


Douglas, J.


Cherry, J.

cc: Hon. Linda Marquis, District Judge, Family Court Division
David L. Mann
Jason Forest
Eighth District Court Clerk