IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAMALSIKOU LOWE, Petitioner, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 68887

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Petitioner alleges a judicial conflict of interest, improper conduct by his previous appointed counsel, failure to produce $Brady^1$ materials, various errors regarding a material witness, and other constitutional violations. Without deciding upon the merits of any claims raised in the documents submitted in this matter, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction. A challenge to the validity of the judgment of conviction must be raised in a postconviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district court in the first instance.² NRS 34.724(2)(b); NRS 34.738(1). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Hardesty, C.J. . J. Douglas Parraguirre

¹Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).

²We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could meet the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.

15-34670

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA cc: Hon. William D. Kephart, District Judge Lamalsikou Lowe Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk