

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSE A. GALLIMORT,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 67207

FILED

OCT 16 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a pro se appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion to correct illegal sentence.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; J. Charles Thompson, Judge.


In his motion filed on November 20, 2014, appellant Jose A. Gallimort claimed that he received ineffective assistance of counsel and that he is innocent. Gallimort's claims fell outside the narrow scope of claims permissible in a motion to correct an illegal sentence. *See Edwards v. State*, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996) ("A motion to correct an illegal sentence is an appropriate vehicle for raising the claim that a sentence is facially illegal at any time; such a motion cannot, however, be used as a vehicle for challenging the validity of a judgment of conviction or sentence based on alleged errors occurring at trial or sentencing."). Therefore, without considering the merits of any of the claims raised in


¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Lockett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).


the motion, we conclude that the district court did not err in denying the motion.

Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²


_____, J.
Saitta


_____, J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Pickering

cc: Chief Judge, The Eighth Judicial District Court
Hon. J. Charles Thompson, Senior Judge
Eighth Judicial District Court Dept. 20
Jose A. Gallimort
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents that Gallimort has submitted in pro se to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that Gallimort has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we decline to consider them in the first instance.