

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINE OF
EFREM A. ROSENFELD, BAR NO.
5110.

No. 64288

IN THE MATTER OF DISCIPLINE OF
EFREM A. ROSENFELD, BAR NO.
5110.

No. 66871

FILED

OCT 09 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER REJECTING CONDITIONAL GUILTY PLEA

Docket No. 66871 is an automatic review of a Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board hearing panel's recommendation that we approve a conditional guilty plea in exchange for a stated form of discipline pursuant to SCR 113 for attorney Efrem A. Rosenfeld. Under the agreement, Rosenfeld admitted to over 200 violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct arising from numerous grievances. The agreement provides for a five-year suspension, retroactive to October 30, 2013, which is when Rosenfeld effectively quit practicing law, to be followed by a two-year probationary period, and conditions.

The findings and recommendations of a disciplinary hearing panel, though persuasive, are not binding on this court. *In re Discipline of Stuhff*, 108 Nev. 629, 633, 837 P.2d 853, 855 (1992). Having reviewed the record, we reject the conditional guilty plea because we conclude that the extensive conditions set forth therein are more appropriately addressed at

15-30746

the time of any reinstatement proceedings concerning Rosenfeld. Accordingly, we remand this matter to the Southern Nevada Disciplinary Board for further proceedings.¹

It is so ORDERED.²

Hardesty, C.J.
Hardesty

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Douglas, J.
Douglas

Cherry, J.
Cherry

Saitta, J.
Saitta

Gibbons, J.
Gibbons

Pickering, J.
Pickering

¹As the recommendations that (1) Rosenfeld be suspended for five years retroactive to October 30, 2013; and (2) as a condition precedent to applying for reinstatement, Rosenfeld must pay the entire amount of restitution identified in the agreement appear appropriate, this court would be inclined to approve a revised conditional guilty plea including such provisions, without the other extensive conditions in the current agreement.

²In light of these proceedings, we will take no further action on the documents regarding Rosenfeld filed in Docket No. 64288 indicating that suspension and/or disability inactive status might be appropriate as a result of Rosenfeld's actions and almost immediate subsequent hospitalization and withdrawal from practicing law. Additionally, this order constitutes our final disposition of this matter. Any further proceedings concerning Rosenfeld shall be docketed as a new matter.

cc: Landis Law Group
State Bar of Nevada/Las Vegas
Kimberly K. Farmer, Executive Director, State Bar of Nevada
Jeffrey R. Albregts
Perry Thompson, Admissions Office, United States Supreme Court