## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JASON WILLIAM CLOUTIER, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 66662

CLERK OF

## FILED

SEP 1 1 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN

DEPUTY CLERK

SUPREME COURT

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of vehicular homicide. First Judicial District Court, Carson City; James E. Wilson, Judge.

Appellant argues that his conviction violates the Ex Post Facto Clause of the Constitution because his three prior DUI convictions predated the enactment of NRS 484C.130 and therefore he had no notice that his prior convictions subjected him to a more serious offense. Although appellant's prior convictions occurred before the enactment of the statute, at the time he committed the instant offense, NRS 484C.130(1) identified the elements of that offense, including "convict[ion] of at least three offenses." Accordingly, NRS 484C.130 and NRS 484C.440, which sets forth the punishment for vehicular homicide, are not ex post facto laws. See Dixon v. State, 103 Nev. 272, 274, 737 P.2d 1162, 1164 (1987); Hollander v. Warden, 86 Nev. 369, 373, 468 P.2d 990, 992 (1970).

Appellant next argues that his sentence of life in prison with the possibility of parole constitutes cruel and unusual punishment considering his need for medical, mental, and rehabilitative services and because NRS 484C.130 and NRS 484C.440 are expost facto laws.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

Regardless of its severity, a sentence that is within the statutory limits is not "cruel and unusual punishment unless the statute fixing punishment is unconstitutional or the sentence is so unreasonably disproportionate to the offense as to shock the conscience." Blume v. State, 112 Nev. 472, 475, 915 P.2d 282, 284 (1996) (quoting Culverson v. State, 95 Nev. 433, 435, 596 P.2d 220, 221-22 (1979)); see also Harmelin v. Michigan, 501 U.S. 957, 1000-01 (1991) (plurality opinion) (explaining that Eighth Amendment does not require strict proportionality between crime and sentence; it forbids only an extreme sentence that is grossly disproportionate to the crime). The sentence imposed is within the parameters provided by the relevant statutes, see NRS 484C.130; NRS 484C.440(1), and, as we above, concluded NRS 484C.130 and NRS 484C.440 are not unconstitutional. Further, appellant caused the deaths of two people while driving under the influence and has a history of driving under the influence. We are not convinced that the sentence imposed is so grossly disproportionate to the crime as to constitute cruel and unusual punishment.

Finally, appellant contends that the district court abused its discretion by imposing a life sentence rather than a definite term of 25 years in prison as authorized by statute. See NRS 484C.440(1). Specifically, he argues that the district court did not consider that he has not had the benefit of long-term rehabilitative services and that he will be deprived of such services in light of his life sentence. However, the district court expressly noted that it considered his sentencing argument and memorandum, both of which entreated the district court to impose a 25year prison term so that he could take advantage of programs to treat his substance abuse and mental health problems. Further, appellant has not

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA alleged that the district court relied on impalpable or highly suspect evidence. See Silks v. State, 92 Nev. 91, 94, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 (1976). We therefore conclude that appellant has not demonstrated that the district court abused its discretion in this instance. See Houk v. State, 103 Nev. 659, 664, 747 P.2d 1376, 1379 (1987) (affording the district court wide discretion in sentencing decisions).

Having considered appellant's arguments and concluded that they lack merit, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

J. Parraguirre hearing, J. Douglas Cherry Hon. James E. Wilson, District Judge cc: State Public Defender/Carson City Attorney General/Carson City Carson City District Attorney Carson City Clerk

SUPREME COURT