

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DEVIN SHADEL,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
MICHAEL VILLANI, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 68284

FILED

SEP 11 2015


TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING PETITION


This original petition for a writ of mandamus asks this court to order the district court to grant petitioner Devin Shadel's petition for a writ of mandamus, in which he sought an order directing the justice court to vacate his conviction and sentence and to hold a hearing to determine whether he violated a provision of his deferred sentencing. We are not convinced that our intervention is warranted for two reasons. First, Shadel has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law by way of an appeal from the district court's denial of his petition for a writ of mandamus. *See* NRS 34.170; *see also* NRS 2.090(2), NRAP 3A(b)(1). Second, Shadel has not provided an appendix that includes documents "that may be essential to understand the matter set forth in the petition." NRAP 21(a)(4); *see also Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 229, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) ("If essential information is left

out of the petition and accompanying documentation, we have no way of properly evaluating the petition.”).¹ For these reasons, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.


_____, J.
Parraguirre


_____, J.
Douglas


_____, J.
Cherry

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge
Clark County Public Defender
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹Specifically, Shadel fails to provide this court with a transcript or order from the justice court, in which the provisions of Shadel’s deferred sentencing were imposed.