

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

AERO LIQUIDATION, INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION; AND JAMES GIM, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Petitioners,  
vs.  
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE MICHAEL VILLANI, DISTRICT JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
CLOUD INVESTMENT PARTNERS, LLLP,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 68270

**FILED**

JUL 23 2015

TRASIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *[Signature]*  
DEPUTY CLERK


*ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS*

This original writ petition challenges a district court temporary restraining order in a contract action. Petitioners bear the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary writ relief is warranted. *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). In this case, petitioners have not supported the petition as required by NRAP 21(a)(4), which requires petitioners to provide to this court the parts of the record before respondent district court judge and any other documents that are essential for this court to understand the issues and relief sought in their writ petition. Petitioners' appendix contains only the temporary restraining order. Without essential documents, including the pleadings, the motion papers, and any exhibits considered by the district court, we have no way of evaluating petitioners' arguments that the district court abused its discretion in entering the temporary restraining

15-22341

order. *See Pan*, 120 Nev. at 229, 88 P.3d at 844 (“If essential information is left out of the petition and accompanying documentation, we have no way of properly evaluating the petition.”). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.

Saitta

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.

Gibbons

  
\_\_\_\_\_, J.

Pickering

cc: Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge  
James W. Kwon  
Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas  
Eighth District Court Clerk