An unpublished order shall not be regarded as precedent and shall not be cited as legal authority. SCR 123.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IAN ARMESE WOODS,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 68183

FILED

JUL 2 1 2015

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is an original pro-se petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging a district court order designating petitioner Ian Woods a vexatious litigant and restricting his access to the courts. Woods contends that he was not warned in advance of the district court's intent to designate him a vexatious litigant and that his filings were not frivolous or intended to harass the court. Woods has failed to submit an appendix with documents from the district court action, see NRAP 21(a)(4), and also has failed to serve the petition upon the respondent, see NRAP 21(a)(1). Thus, he has not demonstrated circumstances warranting our review. See Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) ("Petitioners carry the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted."). Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Saitta

Gibbons

Pickering

___, J.

(O) 1947A **4**

cc: Hon. Jessie Elizabeth Walsh, District Judge Ian Armese Woods Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk