

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE GUARANTEE COMPANY OF
NORTH AMERICA USA,

Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE

JERRY A. WIESE, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

ARIZONA CIVIL CONSTRUCTORS,

INC., A NEVADA CORPORATION,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 68098

FILED

JUL 22 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK


*ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT
OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION*

This original writ petition challenges a district court order granting a motion to enlarge the time in which to serve process.

Having considered the arguments set forth in the petition, we conclude that our intervention is unwarranted. NRS 34.160; NRS 34.320; *Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court*, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008); *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (noting that petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted). Petitioner has not supported its petition with an appendix, as required by NRAP 21(a)(4), that includes "a copy of any order or opinion, parts of the record before the respondent judge, . . . or any other original document that may be

essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition.” Without these documents this court is not in a position to grant extraordinary writ relief. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

 _____, J.

Saitta

 _____, J.

Gibbons

 _____, J.

Pickering

cc: Hon. Jerry A. Wiese, District Judge
The Faux Law Group
Beasley Law Group, PC
Eighth District Court Clerk