

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KELLY AHERNS,
Appellant,
vs.
DALE AHERNS,
Respondent.

No. 68097

FILED

JUN 09 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is an appeal from an order of the district court resolving a motion for an order to show cause why appellant should not be held in contempt, and appellant's countermotion for an interview of the parties' child in a divorce matter. Both parties are proceeding in pro se pursuant to this court's pilot program for civil litigants proceeding in pro se.

Our review of the documents before this court reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, it appears that the judgment or order designated in the notice of appeal is not substantively appealable. This court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule. See NRPA 3A(b); *Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels*, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984). No statute or court rule provides for an appeal from an order denying a show cause order or denying a motion for a child interview. Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction and we dismiss this appeal.

It is so ORDERED.

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Douglas, J.
Douglas

Cherry, J.
Cherry

cc: Hon. William S. Potter, District Judge, Family Court Division
Kelly Aherns
Dale Aherns
Eighth District Court Clerk