IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SHAHNAM FAEGHI, AN INDIVIDUAL, Petitioner,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK: AND THE HONORABLE ROB BARE, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and THE FOOTHILLS AT SOUTHERN HIGHLANDS HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, A NEVADA NON-PROFIT CORPORATION: COMPLETE

ASSOCIATION MANAGEMENT

COLLECTION SERVICES, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY

INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY

CORPORATION; ABSOLUTE

CORPORATION: AND SFR

CORPORATION,

Real Parties in Interest.

COMPANY, A NEVADA

No. 67963

FILED

JUN 1 1 2015

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order denying a motion to enforce a settlement agreement. Having considered the petition and supporting documents, we are not persuaded that petitioner has met his burden of demonstrating that our extraordinary intervention is warranted, as the law requires a meeting of the minds for an agreement to be enforceable, see May v. Anderson, 121 Nev. 668, 672, 119 P.3d 1254, 1257 (2005), and the district

SUPREME COURT



court's finding that SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC, had not approved the agreement beyond the expired deadline is supported by substantial evidence and is not clearly erroneous. *Id.* at 672-73, 119 P.3d at 1257; *see* NRS 34.160; NRS 34.320; *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004). Accordingly, we deny the petition. NRAP 21(b); *Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991).

It is so ORDERED.

Saitta

Gibbons

Pickering

cc: Hon. Rob Bare, District Judge Hawkins Melendrez, P.C. Charles L. Geisendorf, Ltd. Howard Kim & Associates Eighth District Court Clerk