## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CURTIS CANNON,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 67558

FILED

APR 1 5 2015

CLERNOF SOPREME COUPE

## ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus seeking to vacate a district court order denying petitioner Curtis Cannon's motion to dismiss.

A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy available "to compel the performance of an act which the law . . . [requires] as a duty resulting from an office, trust or station," NRS 34.160, "or to control a manifest abuse or an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion." Cote H. v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 36, 39, 175 P.3d 906, 908 (2008). This court has the discretion to determine whether a writ petition will be considered, Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991), and the petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary intervention is warranted, Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Moreover, a writ of mandamus is generally inappropriate if the petitioner has "a plain, speedy and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law,"

NRS 34.170, and "an appeal generally constitutes an adequate and speedy remedy precluding writ relief," *Cote H.*, 124 Nev. at 39, 175 P.3d at 908.

Petitioner has a speedy and adequate legal remedy available in the form of an appeal, NRS 177.015(3); NRS 177.045, and we are not persuaded that our intervention is warranted. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.

Saitta

Gibbons

Pickering

cc: Hon. Valerie Adair, District Judge Julie Raye Law, LLC Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk