IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

J.D. CALDWELL, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 67520

FILED

AUG 0 5 2015

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

S. Y CLERK

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a district court order denying, as procedurally barred, appellant J.D. Caldwell's post-conviction petitions for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Kathleen E. Delaney, Judge.

Caldwell filed his first petition on September 11, 2014, and filed his second petition September 24, 2014, two years after entry of the judgment of conviction on September 27, 2012. The district court determined that both of Caldwell's petitions were untimely filed. See NRS 34.726(1). With respect to the first petition, the district court further determined Caldwell's assertions of ineffective assistance of counsel and his mental incompetency did not constitute good cause to overcome the procedural bar. See id.; Phelps v. Dir., Nev. Dep't of Prisons, 104 Nev. 656, 660, 764 P.2d 1303, 1306 (1988). With respect to the second petition, the district court determined Caldwell failed to demonstrate good cause to

(O) 1947B

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. See Luckett v. Warden, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

overcome the procedural bar because plea counsel's actions and the district court's failure to treat a prior motion for jail time credit did not constitute an impediment external to the defense. See NRS 34.726(1); Hathaway v. State, 119 Nev. 248, 252, 71 P.3d 503, 506 (2003). We conclude the district court did not err by denying the petition as untimely filed. Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.2

Gibbons, C.J.

Tao J.

<u>Silver</u>, J.

cc: Hon. Kathleen E. Delaney, District Judge J.D. Caldwell Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents Caldwell has submitted in this matter, and we conclude no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent Caldwell has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we decline to consider them in the first instance.