IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LARRY JAMES WASHINGTON,

Appellant,

va

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 67463 LED

MAR 2 3 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY S. YOUNG

LARRY JAMES WASHINGTON,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 67467

No. 67464

LARRY JAMES WASHINGTON,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

LARRY JAMES WASHINGTON,

VS.

Appellant,

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Respondent.

No. 67486

ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS

These appeals were initiated by pro se appellant from decisions of the district court granting a motion to vacate judgment, denying a pretrial petition for a writ of habeas corpus, denying a motion challenging the district court's decision to toll a speedy trial, and denying a motion to cure jurisdictional defects and a speedy trial violation. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas Smith, Judge.

Our preliminary review of these appeals reveals jurisdictional defects. Specifically, the district court granted appellant the relief he requested in his motion to vacate judgment and appellant is not aggrieved

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

by that decision, see NRS 177.015 (only allowing an appeal in a criminal action by an aggrieved party), and no appeal lies from decisions denying a pretrial petition for a writ of habeas corpus, Gary v. Sheriff, 96 Nev. 78, 605 P.2d 212 (1980) (no appeal lies from an order denying a pretrial petition for a writ of habeas corpus), a motion challenging the district court's decision to toll a speedy trial, and a motion to cure jurisdictional defects and a speedy trial violation, see Castillo v. State, 106 Nev. 349, 352, 792 P.2d 1133, 1135 (1990) (right to appeal is statutory; where no statute or court rule provides for an appeal, no right to appeal exists). Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction to consider the appeals, and we

ORDER the appeals DISMISSED.1

Parraguirre

Douglas

Hon. Douglas Smith, District Judge cc: Larry James Washington Travis Shetler, Esq. Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

¹The decisions challenged in these appeals may be reviewed on direct appeal from appellant's judgment of conviction, Washington v. State, Docket No. 67445. See NRS 177.045. Appellant is directed to proceed by and through his counsel for any concerns he may have regarding his appeal.