## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GREGORY LAWRENCE A/K/A GREGORY L. BARNES, Appellants, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 67407

FILED

JUN 1 6 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from an order of the district court denying a petition for a writ of prohibition.<sup>1</sup> Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valerie Adair, Judge.

In his petition filed on November 5, 2014, appellant Gregory Lawrence claimed the district court and the State of Nevada lacked jurisdiction over his numerous criminal cases. Lawrence failed to demonstrate this claim implicated the jurisdiction of the courts, Nev. Const. art. 6, § 6; NRS 171.010; NRS 34.320, or that he did not have a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law, NRS 34.330. Further, a writ of prohibition was an improper vehicle to raise this challenge because Lawrence was not requesting the writ be issued to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Luckett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

an inferior court. NRS 34.330. Therefore, the district court did not err in denying the petition, and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.2

Gibbons, C.J.

Tao, J.

Silver, J.

cc: Hon. Valerie Adair, District Judge Gregory Lawrence Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We have reviewed all documents Lawrence has submitted in this matter, and we conclude no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent Lawrence has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we decline to consider them in the first instance.