

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WILLIAM HENRY COLLIER, JR.,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 66885

FILED

FEB 24 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE


This is an appeal from an order of the district court denying a motion to modify sentence.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas Smith, Judge.


In his motion filed on August 14, 2014, appellant claimed that there was an error regarding one of his prior convictions and that the district court failed to make a finding that it was “just and proper” for him to be adjudicated as a habitual criminal. Appellant failed to demonstrate that the district court relied on mistaken assumptions regarding his criminal record that worked to his extreme detriment. *See Edwards v.*

¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Lockett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

State, 112 Nev. 704, 708, 918 P.2d 321, 324 (1996). Therefore, we conclude that the district court did not err in denying appellant's motion, and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.²


_____, C.J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Tao


_____, J.
Silver

cc: Hon. Douglas Smith, District Judge
William Henry Collier, Jr.
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk

²We have reviewed all documents that appellant has submitted to the clerk of this court in this matter, and we conclude that no relief based upon those submissions is warranted. To the extent that appellant has attempted to present claims or facts in those submissions which were not previously presented in the proceedings below, we have declined to consider them in the first instance.