

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RENARD TRUMAN POLK,  
Petitioner,  
vs.  
STEPHEN CLARK; DON POAG; AND  
JOHN SCOTT,  
Respondents.

No. 66730

**FILED**


**FEB 12 2015**


TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK


**ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR EXTRAORDINARY RELIEF**

Pro se petitioner has filed a "Petition for Judicial Review," which seeks this court's intervention in the prison grievance process. As petitioner has not met his burden of demonstrating that our extraordinary intervention is warranted, *Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 120 Nev. 222, 224, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 841, 844 (2004); see NRAP 21(a)(1) and (4) (requiring service on respondents and an appendix that includes all documents "essential to understand the matters set forth in the petition"); *Round Hill Gen. Improvement Dist. v. Newman*, 97 Nev. 601, 604, 637 P.2d 534, 536 (1981) (when factual, rather than legal, issues are presented, this court will not exercise its discretion to consider an original writ petition); *State v. Cnty. of Douglas*, 90 Nev. 272, 276-77, 524 P.2d 1271, 1274 (1974) ("this court prefers that such an application [for extraordinary relief] be addressed to the discretion of the appropriate district court" in the first instance), we deny the petition. NRAP 21(b)(1).

It is so ORDERED.

  
Saitta, J.

  
Gibbons, J.

  
Pickering, J.

cc: Renard Truman Polk