

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAIMON MONROE,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 66661

FILED

APR 14 2015

TRACIE K. LIRDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from an order of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.¹ Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Douglas W. Herndon, Judge.

In his petition filed on July 13, 2014, appellant Daimon Monroe claimed that counsel was ineffective for failing to investigate, or introduce as evidence at trial, a conspiracy against Monroe regarding a search warrant. Monroe failed to support this claim with specific facts that, if true, would entitle him to relief. *Hargrove v. State*, 100 Nev. 498, 502-03, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984). Therefore, the district court did not err in denying this claim.


Monroe's remaining claims were not properly raised in his post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus because the claims were previously raised on direct appeal from his judgment of conviction, could have been raised on direct appeal from his judgment of conviction, or


¹This appeal has been submitted for decision without oral argument, NRAP 34(f)(3), and we conclude that the record is sufficient for our review and briefing is unwarranted. *See Lockett v. Warden*, 91 Nev. 681, 682, 541 P.2d 910, 911 (1975).

15-900400

do not relate to this case. See NRS 34.724(2)(a); NRS 34.810(1)(b); *Hall v. State*, 91 Nev. 314, 315-16, 535 P.2d 797, 798-99 (1975). Therefore, the district court did not err in denying these claims, and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.


_____, C.J.
Gibbons


_____, J.
Tao


_____, J.
Silver

cc: Hon. Douglas W. Herndon, District Judge
Daimon Monroe
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney
Eighth District Court Clerk