## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TRAVIS HASTY,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
GLORIA STURMAN, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
STEVE LEIBOWITZ; AND SANDRA
HUNT,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 66387

FILED

AUG 2 9 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging a partial summary judgment in a contract action.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion. See NRS 34.160; Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court, 124 Nev. 193, 197, 179 P.3d 556, 558 (2008). This court may issue a writ of prohibition to arrest the proceedings of a district court exercising its judicial functions when such proceedings are in excess of the district court's jurisdiction. See NRS 34.320; Smith v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991). Writ relief is typically not available, however, when the petitioner has a plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law. See NRS 34.170; NRS 34.330; Int'l Game Tech., 124 Nev. at 197, 179 P.3d at 558. Whether to consider a writ petition is within this

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

court's discretion. Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851. Petitioner bears the burden of demonstrating that extraordinary relief is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004). Generally, an appeal is an adequate legal remedy precluding writ relief. Id. at 224, 88 P.3d at 841.

Having considered the writ petition and appendix, we conclude that our intervention by way of extraordinary writ relief is not warranted, as petitioner has an adequate legal remedy in the form of an appeal from any final judgment entered in the district court action. *Id.* Accordingly, we deny the petition. *See* NRAP 21(b)(1); *Smith*, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851.

It is so ORDERED.

Hardesty

Douglas

Charry

cc: Hon. Gloria Sturman, District Judge Law Offices of Douglas R. Johnson Naomi R. Arin Marquis Aurbach Coffing Law Office of S. Don Bennion Eighth District Court Clerk