IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

OMAR RUEDA-DENVERS, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 66310

FILED

JUL 2 1 2015

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a district court order denying appellant's post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michael Villani, Judge.

Appellant contends that the district court erred by concluding that his petition was untimely filed. Alternatively, appellant contends that he demonstrated good cause to excuse the untimely filing. We disagree with both contentions. Appellant filed his petition on March 26, 2013, over one year after issuance of the remittitur on direct appeal on March 20, 2012. See Rueda-Denvers v. State, Docket No. 55296 (Order of Affirmance, February 24, 2012). Thus, his petition was untimely filed. See NRS 34.726(1); Gonzales v. State, 118 Nev. 590, 595, 53 P.3d 901, 903 (2002) (declining to extend the prison mailbox rule to the filing of postconviction petitions). Appellant failed to demonstrate good cause and prejudice because he did not demonstrate that an impediment external to the defense excused his delay. See Gonzales, 118 Nev. at 595-96, 53 P.3d at 904. Although appellant asserts he was unable to demonstrate good cause because the district court declined to hold an evidentiary hearing, he did not allege sufficient facts to entitle him to an evidentiary hearing

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA under the circumstances. See Hathaway v. State, 119 Nev. 248, 252, 71 P.3d 503, 506 (2003). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

J. Pickering ___, J. J. Gibbons Hon. Michael Villani, District Judge cc: Law Office of Kristina Wildeveld Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk