

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NATHAN DANIEL PERRIGAN,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 65693

**FILED**

SEP 18 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY R. Malone  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE*

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of burglary. Sixth Judicial District Court, Humboldt County; Michael Montero, Judge.

Appellant Nathan Daniel Perrigan contends that the district court abused its discretion by sentencing him to a term of imprisonment rather than granting his request for diversion. Perrigan offers no argument in support of his assertion and fails to demonstrate that the district court abused its discretion. See NRS 453.3363(1); *Houk v. State*, 103 Nev. 659, 664, 747 P.2d 1376, 1379 (1987) ("The sentencing judge has wide discretion in imposing a sentence, and that determination will not be

overruled absent a showing of abuse of discretion.”). Accordingly, we  
ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.<sup>1</sup>

Hardesty, J.  
Hardesty

Douglas, J.  
Douglas

Cherry, J.  
Cherry

cc: Hon. Michael Montero, District Judge  
Humboldt County Public Defender  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Humboldt County District Attorney  
Humboldt County Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>The fast track response submitted in this case fails to comply with NRAP 32(a)(4) because it does not contain page numbers. See NRAP 3C(h)(1) (requiring fast track filings to comply with the provisions of NRAP 32(a)(4)-(6)). We caution counsel for the State that future failure to follow the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure when filing briefs with this court may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 3C(n).