IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

EDWARD BOECHAT, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 65278

JUL 2 2 2014

SY DEPUTY CLER

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of felon in possession of an electronic stun device. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Elliott A. Sattler, Judge.

Appellant Edward Boechat contends that the district court abused its discretion by sentencing him to a prison term of 24 to 60 months without explaining its reasons for the sentence.¹ We disagree. The district court is afforded wide discretion in its sentencing decision, *see Houk v. State*, 103 Nev. 659, 664, 747 P.2d 1376, 1379 (1987), and absent reliance on impalpable or highly suspect evidence, we will not interfere with the court's imposition of a sentence within statutory guidelines. *See Silks v. State*, 92 Nev. 91, 94, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 (1976). Boechat does not argue that his sentence falls outside the statutory parameters, *see* NRS 202.357(5)(a), or that the district court relied on impalpable or highly suspect evidence. As to his contention that the failure to articulate

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

¹Pursuant to negotiations, the State agreed to recommend a term of 24 to 60 months and to not pursue other charges or enhancements.

reasons for the sentence demonstrates an abuse of discretion, we decline to impose such a requirement upon the district court. See Campbell v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 114 Nev. 410, 414, 957 P.2d 1141, 1143 (1998). Accordingly, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

ickering_ J. Pickering J. Parr J. Saitta

cc: Hon. Elliott A. Sattler, District Judge Washoe County Public Defender Attorney General/Carson City Washoe County District Attorney Washoe District Court Clerk