IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAVID LUNA, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 65074

FILED

JUL 2 2 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a jury verdict, of assault with a deadly weapon and felon in possession of a firearm. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; Elliott A. Sattler, Judge.

Appellant David Luna raises two contentions. First, he contends that the district court abused its discretion by denying his motion to suppress a video recording of the offense. Second, he contends that the district court erred by denying his motion for a new trial. Regarding his first contention, Luna makes numerous assertions which are not supported by references to the record in violation of NRAP 3C(e)(1)(C). Luna also fails to provide this court with the video in question, a copy of his motion to suppress, the transcripts from the hearing on his motion, or the order denying his motion. See Johnson v. State, 113 Nev. 772, 776, 942 P.2d 167, 170 (1997) ("It is appellant's responsibility to make an adequate appellate record."). Regarding his second contention, Luna again makes numerous assertions which are not supported by references to the record, and again, fails to provide this court with a copy of his motion for a new trial or any transcripts relating to his

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

motion. Because Luna fails to provide this court with an adequate record to review his claims, we decline to consider them, and we ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.¹

ickering Pickering J. Parraguirre Saitta

cc: Hon. Elliott A. Sattler, District Judge Douglas A. Nutton Attorney General/Carson City Washoe County District Attorney Washoe District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

. .

- 17

¹Luna's fast track statement fails to comply with NRAP 3C(h)(1) and 32(a)(4) because it is not double-spaced. We caution counsel that future failure to comply with the formatting requirements when filing briefs with this court may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 3C(n).